Introduction
This extract from IRM’s training material looks at how a structured approach to defining and analysing problems can be used as the basis for designing better solutions. Part 1 of this paper looks at problem definition. Part 2 introduces the reader to analytical techniques for determining the root cause of a problem. Future papers in this series will look at creative thinking techniques for successful solution design.

1.0 Problem – what problem?
Problems come in a variety of shapes and sizes. For example, in motoring, we can use the word ‘problem’ to cover:

- A car breakdown
- High running costs
- Locking keys inside
- Too much time spent driving
- Which car to buy
- A back seat driver
- Not being able to afford a car

All these could be termed problems, yet they are very different and require different approaches to deal with them. Some problems are short term, some are long term. Some involve decisions. Some involve a whole range of problems from which priorities must be chosen. Some may not be completely soluble and may have to be coped with.

There is no one way that will solve all problems. There are various approaches, or ‘tools’, which will help to solve certain types of problems. Most of them are only ordered common sense, but this is precisely what is lacking in many intuitive attempts to tackle problems. Analysts must be comfortable with a number of tools and should not be afraid of trying out several on any given problem. They are all methods that help us to think our way through the issue.
1.1 Identifying priorities

Many problems are complex, involving a whole range of causes. For example, suppose the problem is overspending in a department. There may be many items of expenditure that make up the department budget. Some of these will be large, others trivial. Some may be easily cut back, whilst others cannot be reduced. By identifying which items are capable of giving the greatest reductions, and concentrating on them, best use may be made of the resources and time available.

Unfortunately for us, not many problems are so easily soluble as this example. However the principle remains – we must spend our limited time wisely. It’s no use spending many days solving a tiny problem of little consequence when there is a big problem to solve that demands a good solution. This principle is often expressed as the 80/20 rule.

1.2 Analysing symptoms to find causes

This is the heart of problem analysis. Before taking action, symptoms must be distinguished from causes. Is a headache the problem, or is it a symptom of a more serious illness? Often, the absence of a symptom may be just as significant as its presence.

Having a headache Monday to Friday, but not on Saturdays or Sundays, may give a clue to its cause! What is wanted is a cause, or causes, that will adequately account for symptoms experienced. Most approaches make ‘models’ or charts of the situation on which all the known facts can be entered. From these models, the underlying pattern is deduced.

Business analysts will hear many business problems described, and many more ‘solutions’ suggested as the way to remedy the problems. While it would not be wise to ignore anything said, we are well-advised to listen carefully and analyse any situation for ourselves before agreeing to solutions. Quite often the solutions put forward are the immediate, obvious, knee-jerk solutions – which are inappropriate! The business analyst must develop an independent spirit and he/she must be prepared to argue a case with management rather than just accept instructions. The solutions that we’re going to specify are going to be expensive and time-consuming – we’d be wise to ensure that they’re going to work and that they are the most appropriate.
1.3 Developing alternatives

In some situations the cause is known. The question is what to do about it? A good example is locking yourself out of your car; you are not interested in why you did it. You just want to get in. Various approaches exist for developing alternatives, for example, Brainstorming and Lateral Thinking. No one can be turned into an Einstein by these techniques, but people are usually more creative than they give themselves credit for. This inherent ability can be developed. What we need are options to choose from – there’s always another way if only we can find it.

1.4 Decision making

Choosing between alternatives can involve:

1. Technical factors, such as costs
2. Human factors:
   • Who should be involved in making the decision?
   • Who needs to accept it?
3. Risk:
   • How can uncertainty be allowed for?
   • What can go wrong?
   • What monitoring needs to be done?
   • What contingency plans should be prepared?

Decision making tools available, such as Weighted Points and Decision Trees, involve setting out the facts in a logical way so that the overall decision is made as a result of many minor ones.

Many specialised mathematical decision making techniques exist, but they are really tools of the Operational Research specialist.

1.5 Follow up

• Having tackled the problem, what can be learned from it?
• What new procedures will help prevent similar problems in the future?
• Where decisions have been made, can we monitor their effectiveness?
1.6 Golden rules

- Do not confuse an **Objective** with a **Problem Definition**. For example: ‘My problem is that I need a website’. This could be a solution looking for a problem!
- Find out *why* a problem exists - don’t just accept the definition that you’re given.
- Get *inside* the problem - see it, experience it, understand it.
- Just like *Objectives, Problems* must also be quantified.

We often find that particular solutions are requested by clients – and provided by service providers. It is always advisable to *define the problem* before jumping into solutions.

2.0 Analytical techniques

We will look at two specific techniques – Cause & Effect Analysis, BPR 20 questions - that may help us in certain situations. There is no magic in these, or any, techniques. However, only by understanding and mastering techniques can we:

a) get the most out of them  
b) determine their suitability for the task at hand

2.1 Cause and effect analysis

This technique is most useful when analysing big problems or seemingly complicated issues where all factors seem to be inter-related.
**Principle:**
Problems don’t just happen (cause)
One thing leads to another (effect)

**Example:**
‘Systems take too long to develop’
- Is this the problem or the effect of many other problems?

The *Cause and Effect Analysis* tool uses a hierarchy to rationalise the factors that contribute to the manifestation of a problem. It is a simple way of making sense out of what may be a confusing set of inter-relating factors.

### 2.2 Cause & effect analysis – example 1

```
Hole -> Cargo Shift -> Capsize
  |         |         |
  |         |         |
Collision Sabotage  |
  |         |         |
Bad Stowage         Bad Weather
```

**Method**

- Pick one major problem
- List all those minor problems which contribute to this major problem
- Group into related sets
- Organise as an hierarchy
- or
- Tabulate causes and effects using words *leads to* and *caused by*
- Quantify the causes for each effect – an estimated percentage

Note that quantification is rarely an exact science – it doesn’t need to be in this case. We use it merely to help us to focus a little more objectively on the important factors.
2.3 Cause and effect – example 2

An example *cause and effect analysis* of the problem of recruitment experienced by an I.T. service provider located in the rural commuter belt of a large city.

Analysing the problem using this tool exposed a fallacy in the accepted logic of advertising nationally and offering higher pay plus flexible hours to compensate for the additional travel.

Instead, advertising was switched to local papers only, targeting commuters who were tired of the daily travel to city centre offices.

The percentages indicate the analyst’s quantification of the contribution made by the individual causes to those at the previous level. Though inevitably only an approximation, these can form the basis of design decisions about which areas requires priority in devising a solution. In the above example it was obvious which cause deserved the most thought for a good solution.

2.4 Business process re-engineering (BPR)

BPR is the name that was given in the nineties to the process of re-thinking through *what* a business does, and *how* it does it. It takes into account all factors involved – cultural, technical, costs, skills, outcomes. Cynics may say that good business analysis has always done this! The term *BPR* is fading out of fashion in some quarters now but the principles involved are sound.

There are many tools and techniques associated with BPR but one of the most powerful that we have experienced is the ‘Twenty questions’ tool.
2.5 BPR 20 questions

Identify a process – preferably a key process within the system that you’re studying. Then question each process as follows:

1. **What** is being done? (what is being *achieved*)
   - Why is it necessary?
   - What else could be done?
   - What else should be done?

2. **Where** is it being done?
   - Why there?
   - Where else could it be done?
   - Where else should it be done?

3. **When** is it done?
   - Why then?
   - When else could it be done?
   - When else should it be done?

4. **Who** does it?
   - Why this person/group?
   - Who else could do it?
   - Who else should do it?

5. **How** is it done?
   - Why this way?
   - How else could it be done?
   - How else should it be done?

**Note:** It’s important that questions are asked in a sequence. Group 1 questions (What) are asked first, group 5 questions are asked last. It’s not important how the other groups are asked.

There’s not so much that’s really new…

*I keep six honest serving men
(They taught me all I knew)*

*Their names are What and Why and When*

*And How and Where and Who*

---

Rudyard Kipling, Just-So Stories
### 2.6 Example of BPR 20 questions – credit check process

**Diagram: Credit Check Process**

- **Order → Credit Check → Order "credit OK" → Accounts Receivable Ledger → Order "failed" → Order**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1. What</strong> is being achieved?</th>
<th>Minimising bad debts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Why</strong> is it necessary?</td>
<td>Some customers are unable to pay for goods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **What else could be done?**  | - Payment with order  
                              | - Pay after order placed but before delivery  
                              | - COD  
                              | - Bond |
| **What should be done?**      | To be decided |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>2. Where</strong> is it being done?</th>
<th>Stock control section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Why there?</strong></td>
<td>..........................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Where else could it be done?</strong></td>
<td>..........................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>.........etc</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7 Problem analysis checklist

The following checklist can be helpful in the review of problem analysis work:

1. Were any problems positively identified by managerial or supervisory staff?
2. Is there any evidence of procedural difficulty?
3. Are procedures and documentation satisfactory?
4. Is there any duplication of effort or information?
5. Are any processes redundant?
6. Does the workload exhibit peaks and troughs? If so, are these in regular cycles?
7. Are the bottlenecks in the system?
8. Are any facilities under-employed?
9. Are controls satisfactory?
10. Would auditing the system be difficult?
11. Does the system cope with exceptions?
12. Are exceptions, inaccuracies and omissions excessive?
13. Are files accurate and up-to-date? Do they need to be?
14. If files are not up-to-date, what problems does this cause?
15. Are there delays in the completion of work and in the production of information?

2.8 Information systems

The preceding examples show methods of analysing existing systems. Frequently, however, new systems are concerned with the provision of Management Information. The analytical task then is to determine whether the information being requested is relevant. Typical questions to be asked are:

- For what purpose is the information needed?
- How will it be interpreted?
- What decisions will be based on this information?
- Could *exception reporting* be used?
- What response time is necessary?
- How accurate and up-to-date must the information be?
- Is the reproduction of data for comparisons with previous periods justified?
- Is it relevant?
- Do the information requirements pass the ‘so what’ test?
Let’s not forget that many systems produce more information than users can realistically use. Users and managers also often ask for information to be made available without too much thought as to how exactly it will be used. When we ask the right questions we may uncover more effective processes.

2.9 Whose problem is it?

Two excellent writers on problem solving are Gerald Weinberg and Donald Gause. In their book, ‘Are Your Lights On?’, they explore the problem of problem solving in a light hearted and entertaining style. The quotes that follow are taken from the chapter ‘Whose Problem is it?’

- Don’t solve other people’s problems when they can solve them perfectly well themselves
- If it’s their problem, make it their problem
- Don’t mistake a solution method for a problem definition
- Don’t leap to conclusions, but don’t ignore your first impression
- We never have enough time to consider if we want it, but always have time to regret it
- We never have enough time to do it right, but always have time to do it over again
- Don’t bother trying to solve problems for people without a sense of humour!!

2.10 Summary

Of course problem analysis is only half the story. The next step is to design one or a number of potential solutions to the problem. The next paper in this series looks at techniques for creative thinking, design methods for successful solutions and validation.